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## Parallelotope

- Weak relational abstract domain
- No restriction on the single constraint
- Any affine constraint may appear in an abstract object
- Limitations on the number and combination of constraints
- Linear forms of constraints should be linearly independent
- Hence, it is not a template domain
- Template parallelotopes (and methods to generate templates) were the topic of a previous paper [SAS 2010].


## What is a parallelotope?

- A many-dimensional generalization of a parallelogram.

1-dimensional ptope
(interval)
2-dimensional ptope (parallelogram)

3-dimensional ptope
(parallelepiped)

## What is a parallelotope?

- Several formal definitions:
- The sum of linearly independent segments
- Hence, a parallelotope is a zonotope

- The image of a box trough a linear transformation

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{\prime}=x+2 y+1 \\
y^{\prime}=y
\end{gathered}
$$

## Representation of parallelotopes

- A triple <A,m,M>
- A is ai invertibin $m$
$\mathrm{n}=$ number of variables
- Represents



## $\leq \mathrm{Ax} \leq \mathbf{M}\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\infty \leq x+y \leq 1 \\
& -1 \leq x-y \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right) \boldsymbol{m}=\binom{-\infty}{-1} \quad \boldsymbol{M}=\binom{1}{1}
$$

## Change of shape

- Given $\mathrm{P}=<\mathrm{A}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{M}>$, which is the least ptope containing P with shape B ?



## Change of shape

- Given $\mathrm{P}=<\mathrm{A}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{M}>$, which is the least ptope containing P with shape B ?
- For each row $\mathbf{b}_{i}$ of B
- Minimize/maximize scalar product $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{i}} \cdot \mathbf{x}$ on P
$-l_{i}=\inf _{x \in P} \boldsymbol{b}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}=\inf _{m \leq y \leq M} \boldsymbol{b}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \cdot\left(A^{-1} \boldsymbol{y}\right)$
$-u_{i}=\sup _{x \in P} \boldsymbol{b}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}=\sup _{m \leq y \leq M} \boldsymbol{b}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \cdot\left(A^{-1} \boldsymbol{y}\right)$
- Return <B,l,u>


## Ordering on parallelotopes

- $\mathrm{P}=<\mathrm{A}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{M}>$ is a subset of $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}=<\mathrm{A}^{\prime}, \mathbf{m}^{\prime}, \mathbf{M}^{\prime}>$ ?
- If $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ just compare $\mathbf{m} / \mathbf{m}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{M} / \mathbf{M}^{\boldsymbol{\prime}}$
- $\ldots$ otherwise compare $\alpha_{A^{\prime}}(P)$ and $P^{\prime}$
- Normalization?
- Several possible normalizations
- ... but we did not explore them fully


## Abstraction map?

- Does an abstraction map exist to establish a Galois connection?
- Given a set of points, is there the least ptope containing them?


## Least parallelotope?

- In this case the least parallelotope exists


## Minimal parallelotopes

- No least parallelotope, but many minimal ones.
- No Galois connection framework.


## Relatively optimal parallelotope



- The green square is not minimal
- .... however, its the least correct one of the given shape
- We call it relatively optimal


## Semantic Transformers

- Concrete transformers
- Affine assignment
- Invertible, Non-invertible
- Non-deterministic assignment
- Refinement by linear inequality (test)
- Union
- We strive to find abstract transformers which are
- $\gamma$-complete
- Minimal
- Relatively optimal


## Inv. Assignment: $x^{\prime}=x+2 y+1$

- Invertible affine transformations map parallelotopes to parallelotopes.



## Non-deterministic assignment: $\mathrm{x}=$ ?

- Sum of the parallelotope with the line corresponding to x axis



## Non-det. assignment: $x=?($ good case $)$

$3 \leq x+y+z \leq 3$
$0 \leq y-z \leq 1$
$0 \leq-2 x+y+z \leq 1$
$x$ appears in an equation


## Non-det. Assignment: $\mathrm{x}=$ ? (bad case)

$0 \leq x+y+z \leq 3$
$0 \leq y-z \leq 1$
$0 \leq-2 \mathrm{x}+y+z \leq 1$
x only appears in inequations


## Non-invertible Assignment: $x=2 y+z-1$



## Linear refinement: $-2 x+y \geq 0$

- Easy case:

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\infty \leq x+y \leq+\infty \\
-1 \leq x-y \leq 1
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \leq-2 x+y \leq+\infty \\
-1 \leq x-y \leq 1
\end{gathered}
$$

linear
independent

## Linear refinement

- Difficult case:



## Union (weak)

- The weak union is similar to join of template polyhedra.



## Union (weak)

- Weak union never creates new constraints

- Useful for widening


## Union (inversion based)

- A smarter union based on inverse join
- collect all the linear forms of the bounding hyperplanes
- for the original parallelotopes
- generated by inversion
- prioritize them according to some heuristics
- choose a subset of linear forms which is a basis of the vector space and which maximizes priorities
- compute the relatively optimal parallelotope with the shape given by the chosen linear forms


## Collecting linear forms

linear forms in the original ptopes
linear form generated
by inversion

## Prioritizing linear forms

- For each linear form, compute the bounds for the original parallelotopes


Bounds are the same
Priority 1
lower values are higher priority

## Prioritizing linear forms

- For each linear form, compute the bounds for the original parallelotopes


Bounds intersect Priority 2

## Prioritizing linear forms

- For each linear form, compute the bounds for the original parallelotopes


Bounds do not intersect Priority 3

## Choose linear forms

- Collect
- In order of priority
- Until we get/ linearly independent $8 / 2$
- Easy in this caso
- In the general case, follow
- Gaussian eliminatí
- or QR factorization
and use pivots


## Precision

- Parallelotope is
- More precise than Karr's analysis
- Less precise than polyhedra
- with standard join or inverse join
- Incomparable with all the other domains
- even with interval domain


## Complexity

| Operation | Parallelotopes | Karr's lin. eq. | Octagons <br> (with normal.) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Check equality | $\mathrm{n}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ |
| Assignment | $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ |
| n.d. Assignment | $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ (equality) | $\mathrm{n}^{3}$ |
| Refinement | $\mathrm{n}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{n}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ |
| Union | $\mathrm{n}^{4}$ | -- | $\mathrm{n}^{3}$ |
| Widening | $\mathrm{n}^{3}$ |  |  |

## Example

- Invariants

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i=2 \\
& j=k+5 \\
& \text { While (TRUE) } \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
i=i+1 \\
j=j+3
\end{array}\right. \\
& \}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -3 i-j+k=1 \\
& -2 \leq i \\
& -k+5 \leq j
\end{aligned}
$$

Found by parallelotope analysis
implied by the first twos

## Example

- Invariants:

```
i = 2
j = 0
while (TRUE)
{
    if (i*i==4)
        i = i+4
        else {
        j = j+1
        i = i+2
        }
}
```

$-\mathrm{i}+2 \mathrm{j} \leq 6$
$-0 \leq j$
$-2 \mathrm{j}-\mathrm{i} \leq-2$

Found by parallelotope analysis
found during inversion join, but discharged in favor of the first twos

## Strong and weak points

- Strong points
- No limits on the complexity of constraints
- Reasonably fast
- Weak points
- Few constraints may be handled simultaneously
- Require rational arithmetic when analyzing floating point variables
- but we didn't try very hard to use floating points


## How to improve

- Parallelotope as an auxiliary domain
- To be combined with domains such as Octagon, TVPI, Interval
- The base domain compute the "standard invariants"
- For constraints outside the reach of the standard domain, parallelotopes may help
- How to combine?
- Reduced product? Difficult
- Transfer function between the two domains
- Need to tune Parallelotope to avoid invariants handled by the base domain

